

- 1		
1	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP SRI K. SANKARAN (Cal. Bar. No. 236584) 555 California Street Suite 1000 San Francisco, CA 94104-1513	
2		
3		
4	Telephone: (415) 544-7038 Facsimile: (415) 398-3249	
5	DEVAN V. PADMANABHAN (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Suite 1500 50 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498 Telephone: (612) 340-2600	
6		
7		
8	Attorneys for Defendant Sproqit Technologies, Inc.	
9		
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	VISTO CORPORATION	NO.: 04-CV-651 (EMC)
14	Plaintiff,	
15	v.	DECLARATION OF GERALD TROOIEN
16		
17	SPROQIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	
18	Defendant.	
19		
20	GERALD TROOIEN hereby declares as follows:	
21	1. I am the president of Sproqit Technologies, Inc., the defendant in this lawsuit.	
22	2. The statements made in this Declaration are based on my own personal knowledge	
23	unless otherwise indicated.	
24	3. The Sproqit technology and products, which Visto accuses of infringing its patents,	
25	were developed and brought to market at a cost of millions of dollars and years of effort.	
26	4. Sproqit intends to fight Visto's claims of infringement and vindicate its right to make,	
27	use, offer and sell the accused technology and pro	ducts. This is the current focus of Sproqit's business
- 1	II	

28

Case3:04-cv-00651-EMC Document202 Filed08/09/06 Page2 of 2

5. With a court ruling that the Sproqit technology and products do not infringe the Visto 1 patents, I believe that the Sproqit technology and products will be well-positioned in the market place. 2 6. Once this case is litigated to a successful conclusion Sproqit will resume commercial 3 operations, marketing its technology and products as superior solutions that are free of any claim of 4 infringement. 5 7. Sproqit will also market its technology and products as a non-infringing alternative 6 solutions to the other companies that have been accused of infringing the Visto patents. 7 8. Reflecting its intention to market and sell the accused technology and products, Sproqit 8 has retained counsel to litigate this case and pursue defenses and counterclaims asserting that Sproqit 9 does not infringe and that the Visto patents are invalid. Sproqit would not engage in the costly task of 10 defending against Visto's claim of patent infringement if Sproqit did not intend to market and sell the 11 accused technology and the accused products. 12 13 I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States, that the foregoing is true 14 and correct. 15 Executed this 8th day of August, 2006, at St. Paul, Minnesota. 16 17 /s/ Gerald Trooien 18 Gerald Trooien 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28